

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/518,551	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GNANASIVAM ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Justin I. King	<b>Art Unit</b> 2111	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Justin I. King (USPTO Personnel).

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Michael Ananian (Applicant's Representative).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 20 September 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

newly added claims 28 and 30

09/27/2004 CCURTIS 00000005 502319 09518551

01 FC:1252 420.00 DA

Prior art documents discussed:


None

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

 Examiner's amendment to correct claims 28 and 30's 112 2<sup>nd</sup> issues, and authorization to charge fee for the extension of two months.

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 MARK H. FINCHAR  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)